



Intel Comments for NETMundial

- Area: COMBINED INTERNET GOVERNANCE PRINCIPLES AND ROADMAP
- Entitled by: Mike Chartier
- Region: United States
- Organization: Intel
- Sector: Private Sector
- Keywords: do no harm, open, inform

Abstract

Intel commends Brazil for convening and hosting this important dialog on the critical topic of internet governance and is pleased to submit these comments. Intel is the world's largest semiconductor chip maker. Since 1968 we have developed the chips and technology that power the worldwide digital economy. In our submission we offer some comments on the logistics and work of the meeting, and then provide proposals on the principles and roadmap.

Document

Intel Comments for NETMundial

Intel commends Brazil for convening and hosting this important dialog on the critical topic of internet governance and is pleased to submit these comments. Intel is the world's largest semiconductor chip maker. Since 1968 we have developed the chips and technology that power the worldwide digital economy. In this submission we would first like to offer some comments on the logistics and work of the meeting, and then provide proposals on the principles and roadmap.

COMMENTS ON THE WORK OF THE MEETING

Do No Harm – Help All

The global community, as gathered in the United Nations 68th General Assembly, acknowledged in Resolution 68/198 *“the steady increase in Internet access to one third of the world’s population, the rapid diffusion of mobile telephony and mobile Internet, the increased availability of multilingual content and the advent of many information and communications technologies services and applications, which offer great potential for the development of the information society.”* It went on to stress, however, the *“need to close the digital divide, including with regard to such issues as Internet affordability, and to ensure that the benefits of new technologies, especially information and communications technologies, are available to all.”* Intel believes these two statements should serve as buoys to guide the way forward for the work of NETmundial and the global discussion in general. The meeting should seek to “do no harm” to the vibrant, innovative, and competitive market for products, services, and ideas, that has brought the benefits of technology to billions, while striving to expand those benefits to all.

Stay Focused

Secondly, the large number and great diversity of participants, importance of the topic, and very short duration will preclude extended discussion of detailed proposals. In order to achieve consensus, the meeting should focus on high-level principles and concepts. Organization- specific contributions, such as detailed ICANN proposals, should be remanded to those specific organizations.

Sunshine Process

Finally, for the output of the meeting to gain wide support and legitimacy, it must reflect a true consensus of the stakeholders. There is currently some lack of transparency in fundamental aspects of the meeting, such as how participants are chosen to attend, how representatives of various constituencies were designated, and how output documents will be deemed approved. It is important that a clear understanding exists at the start of

the meeting of the mechanisms NETmundial will use to ensure that any output reflects true consensus.

COMMENTS ON PRINCIPLES

As recognized by all, many organizations have produced Internet-related principles such as UN General Assembly, OECD, UN Human Rights Council, the G8 and others. Some commenters have derived principles based on a synthesis of these earlier principles. Given that global discussion around these basic ideas has reached some level of maturity, we believe the meeting should be able to arrive at a consensus on a set of principles. In our submission we would just like to highlight two principles we consider foundational to the continued growth of the Internet.

Commitment to the Multistakeholder Approach

From our vantage point as one of the innovators and key suppliers of technology to the ICT industry for over forty years, Intel is keenly aware of the efficiency, flexibility, and resiliency of a multistakeholder approach, especially in the area of day-to-day operations and technical standards. One of the greatest market challenges was the coordination of hundreds of thousands of hardware manufacturers with millions of software and application developers to produce interoperable products, while delivering constant innovation. Yet a distributed, industry driven approach, where technologies and products are tried on their technical and market merits has been able to deliver capabilities to consumers that were considered science fiction just decades ago. Moreover, this development and standardization process, which is close to the end-user, is also crucial for rapidly responding to cyber security attacks and threats. Intel realizes the role of governments in addressing communities that may be underserved by the market, for instance, through the use of Universal Service programs. However, Intel strongly opposes the replacement of existing organizations and technical bodies, or the arrogation of the functions of those bodies, by a new or existing intergovernmental body.

Open Internet

Intel supports the principle (submitted by Germany) that *“The global, open and free nature of the Internet as a single commons has to be ensured. It is a driving force for progress towards development in its various forms including economic growth, encouraging*

innovation and allowing for creativity.” We have been greatly concerned by recently proposed unilateral actions that would fundamentally alter the single commons nature of the Internet by enforcing localization mandates. Similar to proposals during WCIT to replace industry agreements with government-imposed sending-party-pays regimes, localization requirements seek to alter a fundamental aspect of the internet for parochial benefit that would result in negative consequences for all parties involved. The ability to establish, virtually, a global footprint has been a key driver for the growth of many commercial enterprises. Germany’s mittelstand in particular have benefited significantly on the low barrier to entry to become an international leader. These companies would significantly suffer if localization mandates required a physical presence in every market they served. Also, end users could lose access to products and services and see rising costs due to reduced competition. Moreover forums for the free expression and exchange of ideas could be devastated by laws which would dramatically increase operating costs. We believe data security is not a question of server location but of standardized and harmonized security technologies, policies, and practices which enable interoperability and involve all stakeholders developing flexible approaches and mechanisms to improve cyber-security. We strongly support, however, the announcement to lay an undersea cable between Europe and South America. Such capacity-building would facilitate better access for the countries involved and improve access, resiliency, and security for the entire virtual community.

COMMENTS ON THE Roadmap for the Further Evolution of the Internet Governance Ecosystem

Inform Not Dictate

The title of this discussion topic was appropriately chosen. As defined in the OED Evolution is “...*the rise or origination of anything by natural development as distinguished from its production by a specific act.*” The evolution of the multistakeholder Internet governance ecosystem has been an ongoing process as the existing individual organizations have responded to their changing environment. The companies, communities and governmental and non-governmental bodies that constitute Internet Governance engage in internal assessment and improvement on a regular basis. It is crucial that this process remains a bottoms-up progression. This meeting and similar forums can, should, and will influence that evolution, but reconfiguring, realigning or redistributing governance functions by external fiat would have a detrimental and long lasting impact.

As technology advances developers and innovators utilize new capabilities to create ever improving and new applications and products. Simultaneously, dramatic cost reductions and new access technologies allow the benefits of the Internet and ICTs to flow to an ever increasing percentage of the world's population. In addition, negative activities such as malicious cyber security attacks occur requiring immediate attention. And so a fundamental requirement for all companies, standards bodies, and policy setting organizations in the ICT Industry is their ability to adapt to change. Moreover, the processes these organizations use to improve themselves are often open consultations where all stakeholders have the means to provide input.

For instance ICANN 47 in Durban, South Africa, created five new Strategy Panels to “*build on public input being generated to inform a new, overarching vision and five-year strategic plan, and subsequent operating plan, for the organization.*” The panels, providing recommendations and guidance for the operation and future of ICANN are directly relevant to this discussion, especially “ICANN's Role in the Internet Governance Ecosystem,” which recently published a comprehensive report including a Roadmap towards Globalization. Intel commends the work of the panel and recognizes the internal, consultation process (stakeholders are encouraged to review all the draft reports and provide comments through 30 April 2014) as the best and only legitimate mechanism for positive change. We believe it would be counterproductive for this meeting to prescribe (top down) specific changes for ICANN or any individual organization. While this meeting should note the detailed proposals have been submitted to this meeting related to modifications to ICANN, ICANN 50 and internal forums are the appropriate place for their discussion.

Role of governments

Unquestionably, an issue dominating the global discussion has been the role of governments in Internet governance. Internet activity, like all human activity, requires a sphere of security and certainty established by the rule of law in order to flourish. However, inside that sphere, freedom and flexibility are critical to foster the innovation needed to realize the potential of technology. This concept is enshrined in Article 69 of the Tunis Agenda which recognizes:

“the need for enhanced cooperation in the future, to enable governments, on an equal footing, to carry out their roles and responsibilities, in international public policy issues pertaining to the Internet, but not in the day-to-day technical and operational matters, that do not impact on international public policy issues.”

Intel has a long history of fruitful engagement with Administrations to expand the benefits of ICTs to all segments of society. Our Intel World Ahead program has worked extensively with governments to adopt policies designed to accelerate broadband adoption, for instance, via the development of national broadband plans, and improvements in the use of Universal Service Funds. And we work with governments, stakeholders, and ecosystem partners to promote capacity-building, as in the area of education where Intel’s Teach professional development program has enabled 10 million teachers to effectively integrate technology into their lessons to promote problem-solving, critical thinking and collaboration skills among their students.

However intergovernmental organizations are poorly structured to address most technical and operational aspects of the dynamic and fluid Internet and ICT environment. The current system, while not perfect, largely allows decisions to be made based on their technical or market merit. It also provides timely feedback to inform investment on those products and services most valued by consumers (Intel alone has invested over \$100 Billion during the WSIS period to maintain the daunting pace of Moore’s law). Perhaps most importantly, the existing multi-stakeholder model provides the speed and flexibility needed to address and combat cyber-security attacks and threats. Replacing the current system with a cumbersome, bureaucratic process requiring decisions based on the agreement of Administrations, would not only stifle innovation and progress, and lead to sub-optimal technologies, products and services, but could also lessen overall confidence and security in the use of ICTs. Accordingly, as mentioned above, Intel strongly opposes the replacement of existing organizations and technical bodies, or the arrogation of the functions of those bodies, by a new or existing intergovernmental body.

IN SUMMARY

- The meeting should be guided by the tenet “do no harm,” while it seeks to increase and disseminate the benefits of ICTs and the Internet.
- At the outset, the meeting should clarify the processes used for designating

representatives of various stakeholder groups and the mechanisms that will be used to draft and achieve consensus on any output documents.

- We consider the principles of multi-stakeholder approach and an open Internet as foundational.
- The evolution of the Internet governance ecosystem should be endogenous, that is, informed by external forums, but not dictated by them.
- Existing or new functions should not be bestowed on an existing or new inter-governmental organization.